

Due Diligence Panel's Assessment and Scoring 1 February 2016

Proposer	Panel's assessment, score and recommendations for mitigating action
Interested party:	<p>Tarmac (Tarmac Trading Ltd)</p> <p>Proposal considered: that Tarmac (Tarmac Trading LTD) and the Peak District National Park Authority form a mutually beneficial partnership over a five year period through volunteer programmes that deliver conservation works on the ground and through the support to the Conservation Volunteers Assistant Post.</p>
Assessment of proposal against 1-6 in process criteria	
1. Contribution to achieving corporate plan section	<p>Panel agreed would fit with corporate plan. No issues identified</p> <p>Score 10</p>
2. Fit with PDNPA brand values section	<p>Panel agreed that the Communications Plan was key to ensuring the fit with PDNPA brand and values and need to think through any national opportunities for National Parks UK.</p> <p>Score 8</p>
3. Motive of interested party section	<p>No issues identified.</p> <p>Score 10</p>
4. Identity and financial check section	<p>No issues identified. Need to check VAT position. RMT needs to consider the post proposal.</p> <p>Score 10</p>
5. Environmental credentials section	<p>No issues identified. Strong in policy and action.</p> <p>Score 10</p>
6. Conflict of interest/impact on Authority's reputation section	<p>Panel recognised that there could potentially be perceived conflicts of interest because of planning issues and the Authority's regulatory role. Although the panel agreed such issues do not prevent a relationship being developed mitigating action needs to be put in place including: a full Communications Plan including how potentially controversial or emergency issues would be handled between the 2 parties needs to be a condition of the agreement. This should be agreed in advance with the Head of Marketing and Communications. Also agreement needs to include a requirement to notify the Authority of any future planning issues via the relationship managers.</p> <p>Score 5</p>
Conclusions on whether appropriate to develop a relationship with interested party	<p>Panel agreed that it was appropriate to develop a relationship with Tarmac Trading Ltd with the mitigating actions described above to deliver conservation works on the ground via volunteering opportunities and to support the Conservation Volunteers Assistant post for five years.</p>

Scoring: Score out of 10

10 = no issues

1= prohibitive issues meaning cannot proceed whatever the value of other scores

5= satisfactory – some issues but not insurmountable

0= difficult to make judgement from information provided- more information needed